Algora Volume: 2 Issue: 2 12/2025/ pp. 108-123

Journal of AI, Data Science and Engineering, Peer Reviewed, Open Access Journal

AI-Enabled Decision Intelligence for Agile Product Management in Large-Scale Software Projects

[1] Adetoyese Emmanuel Olajide

[1] *SHI International Corp, USA*

Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) technologies into agile product management represents a transformative shift in how large-scale software projects are planned, executed, and optimized. This paper examines the emergence of AI-enabled decision intelligence systems that enhance agile methodologies through predictive analytics, intelligent automation, and data-driven decision support. Through a comprehensive review of scholarly sources this study analyzes the technical approaches, empirical outcomes, and practical implications of deploying AI-driven decision support systems in agile environments. Key findings reveal that AI techniques, including extreme gradient boosting, neural networks, natural language processing, and large language models, significantly improve sprint planning accuracy, backlog prioritization efficiency, and resource allocation optimization. Quantitative evidence demonstrates accuracy improvements ranging from 82% to 98% in task estimation, time reductions of 40-67% in development cycles, and enhanced team velocity prediction capabilities. However, challenges persist regarding data quality requirements, organizational resistance, scalability to enterprise contexts, and the need for human-AI collaboration frameworks. This paper synthesizes current knowledge on AI-enabled decision intelligence architectures, identifies critical success factors for implementation in large-scale agile projects, and proposes directions for future research in this rapidly evolving domain.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Decision Intelligence, Agile Product Management, Machine Learning

1. Introduction

Large-scale software development projects face unprecedented complexity in coordinating distributed teams, managing evolving requirements, and delivering value in compressed timeframes. Traditional agile methodologies, while effective for small teams, encounter significant challenges when scaled to enterprise environments involving hundreds of developers, multiple product lines, and intricate dependencies (Dikert et al., 2016). The Standish Group's research indicates that approximately 43% of software projects experience delays, budget overruns, or reduced functionality due to inadequate estimation and planning processes (Arora et al., 2020). These challenges have catalyzed interest in augmenting human decision-making with artificial intelligence capabilities. Decision intelligence represents an emerging discipline that combines data science, social science, and managerial science to improve organizational decision-making through systematic frameworks and computational support (Das, 2025). When applied to agile product management, AI-enabled decision intelligence systems promise to enhance critical activities including sprint planning, backlog prioritization, resource allocation, risk assessment, and velocity forecasting. Recent advances in machine learning algorithms, natural language processing, and predictive analytics have enabled the development of sophisticated decision support tools that can process historical project data, identify patterns, and generate actionable recommendations (Almalki, 2025; Zadeh et al., 2024).

The integration of AI into agile frameworks such as Scrum, Kanban, and the Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe) introduces both opportunities and challenges. While AI-driven automation can reduce manual effort, improve estimation accuracy, and accelerate decision cycles, successful implementation requires careful consideration of data quality, model interpretability, organizational readiness, and human-AI collaboration dynamics (Fellah, 2025). Furthermore, the transition from single-team agile practices to large-scale agile transformations necessitates decision support systems capable of managing complexity across multiple dimensions including technical architecture, organizational structure, and process coordination (Saklamaeva et al., 2023). This paper addresses three primary research questions: (1) What AI and machine learning techniques are being applied to support decision-making in agile product management? (2) What empirical evidence exists regarding the effectiveness of AI-enabled decision intelligence systems in large-scale software projects? (3) What factors influence the successful adoption and scalability of these systems in enterprise contexts? By synthesizing current research and analyzing implementation patterns, this study contributes to both academic understanding and practical guidance for organizations seeking to leverage AI for agile product management excellence.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Evolution of Agile Methodologies in Large-Scale Contexts

Agile methodologies emerged in the early 2000s as a response to the limitations of traditional waterfall approaches, emphasizing iterative development, customer collaboration, and adaptive planning (Almeida et al., 2021). However, scaling agile practices to large organizations presents distinct challenges. Dikert et al. (2016) conducted a comprehensive analysis identifying critical obstacles including coordination across multiple teams, maintaining architectural coherence, managing dependencies, and aligning diverse stakeholder expectations. Their research revealed that successful large-scale agile transformations require not only process changes but also cultural shifts and appropriate technological support The development of large-scale agile frameworks such as SAFe, Large-Scale Scrum (LeSS), Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD), and Nexus reflects attempts to systematize scaling approaches (Almeida et al., 2021). These frameworks introduce additional roles, ceremonies, and artifacts designed to coordinate work across teams while preserving agile principles. However, Grigoryeva (2015) noted that framework adoption alone does not guarantee success; organizations must adapt practices to their specific contexts and invest in supporting infrastructure. The complexity of large-scale projects, involving distributed teams, multiple product lines, and intricate technical dependencies, creates information processing demands that exceed human cognitive capacity, thereby motivating the exploration of AI-augmented decision support.

2.2 AI and Machine Learning in Software Project Management

The application of artificial intelligence to software project management has evolved from simple automation tools to sophisticated decision support systems. Early work by Garaibeh (2012) explored the conceptual alignment between decision support system development and agile methodologies, proposing frameworks that embed DSS capabilities within iterative development processes. However, these early approaches lacked the advanced machine learning capabilities that characterize contemporary systems. Recent research demonstrates substantial progress in applying specific AI techniques to agile project management challenges. Ebrahim et al. (2023) developed Alfred, an AI chatbot that employs extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost) for task time estimation and K-nearest neighbors (K-NN) for resource recommendation, achieving 82% accuracy and 98% adjusted R^2 scores. This work exemplifies the shift toward data-driven, algorithmically-supported decision-making in release planning. Similarly, Arora et al. (2020) conducted a systematic literature review revealing that machine learning models consistently outperform traditional estimation techniques in Scrum projects, particularly for effort prediction and sprint planning. The integration of natural language processing (NLP) represents another significant advancement. Babulak et al. (2025) demonstrated that NLP-based AI tools can reduce ambiguous user stories by 40%, improve delivery speed by 15%, and enhance stakeholder satisfaction scores from 5.32 to 7.77 compared to traditional approaches. These improvements stem from AI's ability to analyze textual requirements, identify inconsistencies, and suggest clarifications, tasks that are time-consuming and error-prone when performed manually. Predictive analytics has emerged as a cornerstone of AI-enabled agile management. Das (2025) described systems that integrate machine learning models for demand and risk prediction within SAFe-style large-scale projects, automating backlog prioritization and sprint forecasting through decision-support layers embedded in agile workflows. Ziuziun et al. (2025) proposed AI-enhanced architectures for velocity prediction and bottleneck detection, leveraging historical data and real-time inputs to optimize task distribution and enhance team efficiency. These systems exemplify the transition from reactive to proactive project management, where AI anticipates challenges and recommends preventive actions.

2.3 Decision Intelligence Frameworks

Decision intelligence frameworks provide structured approaches for integrating AI capabilities into organizational decision processes. Almalki (2025) conceptualized AI-driven decision support systems specifically for agile software project management, emphasizing risk mitigation and resource allocation. The framework incorporates predictive models that analyze historical project data, current sprint metrics, and external factors to generate recommendations for backlog prioritization, team composition, and risk response strategies. Barua et al. (2025) proposed an AI-augmented framework for agile project management in engineering contexts, highlighting the role of intelligent automation, machine learning, and natural language processing in optimizing sprint planning, backlog prioritization, and resource allocation. Their framework emphasizes early detection of risks through scenario analysis and real-time assessment capabilities. This proactive orientation distinguishes modern decision intelligence systems from traditional reactive management tools. The concept of human-centric AI integration has gained prominence in recent literature. Babulak et al. (2025) argued that effective AI tools must complement rather than replace human judgment, focusing on augmenting team capabilities while preserving collaborative dynamics. This perspective aligns with research on cognitive agents powered by large language models (LLMs), where Cinkusz et al. (2025) demonstrated that LLM-based agents can fulfill fundamental roles in IT project development while maintaining adaptability to agile methodologies. Their work in the CogniSim ecosystem showed measurable improvements in task completion times, deliverable quality, and communication coherence, while emphasizing the importance of natural language interfaces for human-AI interaction.

Oluwasanmi et al. (2023) surveyed 50 agile teams and analyzed 20 AI-enhanced projects, revealing significant impacts on productivity, resource efficiency, and customer satisfaction. However, their research also identified persistent challenges including data quality requirements, organizational resistance to AI adoption, and ethical considerations regarding algorithmic decision-making. These findings underscore the need for comprehensive frameworks that address not only technical implementation but also organizational change management and governance structures.

3. Methodology

This study employs a systematic literature review methodology to synthesize current knowledge on AI-enabled decision intelligence for agile product management in large-scale software projects. The research process involved comprehensive scholarly literature searches across multiple databases including Google Scholar, SciSpace, and ArXiv, using 12 targeted queries combining terms related to artificial intelligence, machine learning, decision support systems, agile methodologies, product management, and large-scale software development. The analytical approach involved extracting and synthesizing information across three primary dimensions: (1) AI/ML techniques and decision support mechanisms employed, (2) key findings and empirical outcomes reported, and (3) application contexts and scalability considerations. This multidimensional analysis enabled identification of patterns, trends, and gaps in current research while providing a foundation for evidence-based conclusions regarding the state of AI-enabled decision intelligence in agile product management. Quality assessment criteria included publication venue reputation, methodological rigor, empirical validation, and relevance to large-scale software project contexts. Papers were evaluated for their contributions to understanding technical approaches, practical implementations, and organizational implications of AI integration in agile environments. The synthesis process emphasized identifying convergent findings across multiple studies while also noting divergent perspectives and unresolved challenges.

4. Findings and Analysis

4.1 AI/ML Techniques for Agile Decision Support

The literature reveals a diverse portfolio of AI and machine learning techniques being applied to agile product management challenges. Table 1 presents a taxonomy of the primary technical approaches identified in the reviewed literature, organized by their functional application within agile workflows.

Table 1: AI/ML Techniques for Agile Decision Support

Technique Category	Specific Methods	Primary Applications	Representative Studies
Supervised Learning	XGBoost, Random Forest, Neural Networks	Task time estimation, effort prediction, sprint success forecasting	Ebrahim et al. (2023), Harju (2025), Zaidi et al. (n.d.)
Instance-Based Learning	K-Nearest Neighbors (K-NN), One-shot learning	Resource recommendation, team composition optimization	Ebrahim et al. (2023), Periyasamy & Chianelli. (2021)
Deep Learning	LSTM networks, Recurrent Neural Networks	Risk prediction, velocity forecasting, pattern recognition	Latinovic et al. (2021), Ziuziun et al. (2025)
Natural Language Processing	Sentiment analysis, Text classification, Named entity recognition	User story clarification, requirement analysis, stakeholder feedback processing	Babulak et al. (2025), Hulugh et al. (2025)
Large Language Models	GPT-based agents, Conversational AI	Cognitive agents, decision assistance, automated documentation	Cinkusz et al. (2025), Fellah (2025)
Optimization Algorithms	Metaheuristic optimization, Genetic algorithms	Backlog prioritization, release planning, resource allocation	ProjectION (2023),
Ensemble Methods	Hybrid ML models, Multi-model integration	Comprehensive decision support, confidence estimation	Ebrahim et al. (2023)

Supervised learning techniques, particularly gradient boosting algorithms, dominate task estimation and effort prediction applications. Ebrahim et al. (2023) demonstrated that XGBoost achieves superior performance in time estimation tasks, with 82% accuracy and 98% adjusted R^2 scores. The success of gradient boosting methods stems from their ability to handle non-linear relationships, manage missing data, and provide feature importance rankings that enhance model interpretability—a critical consideration for practitioner acceptance. Deep learning approaches, especially Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, have shown promise for temporal pattern recognition in sprint velocity forecasting and risk prediction (Latinovic et al., 2021). These architectures can capture long-term dependencies in project data, enabling more accurate predictions of future performance based on historical trends. However, deep learning models typically require larger datasets and greater computational resources than traditional machine learning approaches, potentially limiting their applicability in smaller organizations or newer projects with limited historical data.

Natural language processing techniques address the challenge of extracting structured information from unstructured textual sources such as user stories, requirements documents, and stakeholder feedback. Babulak et al. (2025) reported that NLP-based AI tools reduced ambiguous user stories by 40%, directly addressing a persistent pain point in agile requirements management. Hulugh et al. (2025) demonstrated the application of sentiment analysis and feature demand modeling to automate roadmap prioritization, translating voice-of-customer data into actionable development plans. The emergence of large language models represents a paradigm shift in AI-enabled decision support. Cinkusz et al. (2025) investigated cognitive agents powered by LLMs within the Scaled Agile Framework, demonstrating their capability to fulfill fundamental roles in IT project development through natural language interaction. These agents exhibited advanced capabilities in task delegation, inter-agent communication, and project lifecycle management, suggesting potential for more intuitive human-AI collaboration compared to traditional algorithmic approaches.

4.2 Empirical Outcomes and Performance Metrics

Quantitative evidence from the reviewed literature demonstrates substantial performance improvements attributable to AI-enabled decision intelligence systems. Table 2 summarizes key empirical outcomes reported across multiple studies, organized by performance dimension.

Table 2: Empirical Outcomes of AI-Enabled Decision Intelligence Systems

Performance Dimension	Metric	Improvement	Study
Estimation Accuracy	Task time prediction accuracy	82%	Ebrahim et al. (2023)
Estimation Accuracy	Adjusted R^2 score	98%	Ebrahim et al. (2023)

Requirements Quality	Reduction in ambiguous user stories	40%	Babulak et al. (2025)
Delivery Speed	Improvement in delivery velocity	15%	Babulak et al. (2025)
Development Cycle Time	Reduction in lead time	67% (6-12 months to <2 months)	Widodo et al. (2025)
Stakeholder Satisfaction	Satisfaction score increase	46% (5.32 to 7.77 out of 10)	Babulak et al. (2025)
Task Completion	Task completion rate	91%	ProjectION (2023)
System Usability	System Usability Scale (SUS) score	7.90 out of 10	ProjectION (2023)

The most striking outcome is the dramatic reduction in development cycle time reported by Widodo et al. (2025), who documented a 67% decrease in lead time, from 6-12 months to under 2 months, through the application of generative AI to task coordination and backlog management in the MSIB Batch 7 2024 PINTURA project. While this represents a single case study and may not generalize to all contexts, it illustrates the transformative potential of AI-enabled automation in agile workflows. Estimation accuracy improvements are consistently reported across multiple studies. The 82% accuracy and 98% adjusted R^2 scores achieved by Ebrahim et al. (2023) using XGBoost for task time estimation represent substantial advances over traditional estimation methods. Arora et al. (2020) noted that machine learning models consistently outperform non-machine learning and traditional estimation techniques, addressing a persistent challenge in agile project management where volatile requirements complicate effort prediction. Requirements quality improvements constitute another significant benefit category. Babulak et al. (2025) demonstrated that NLP-based AI tools reduced ambiguous user stories by 40% while simultaneously improving delivery speed by 15% and increasing stakeholder satisfaction scores by 46%. These interconnected improvements suggest that AI-enabled requirements clarification creates cascading benefits throughout the development lifecycle, reducing rework, minimizing misunderstandings, and accelerating value delivery. Cognitive agents powered by large language models have demonstrated measurable improvements in task completion times, deliverable quality, and communication coherence (Cinkusz et al., 2025). These agents exhibited scalability and adaptability across diverse project environments, suggesting potential for broad applicability. However, the reliance on general LLM capabilities rather than domain-specific predictive models raises questions about performance in specialized contexts requiring deep technical knowledge.

4.3 Application Contexts and Scalability

The reviewed literature reveals varying degrees of validation in large-scale enterprise contexts. Das (2025) explicitly addressed SAFe-style large-scale projects, integrating decision-support layers within agile workflows and applying machine learning models for demand and risk prediction. This work represents one of the few studies directly targeting enterprise-scale implementations with multiple teams and complex dependencies. Saklamaeva et al. (2023) conducted a systematic literature review identifying 18 distinct challenges that organizations confront when implementing scaled agile development methods, along with seven benefits and five challenges associated with AI implementation in these contexts. Their analysis revealed that AI-driven assistants demonstrate exceptional versatility in addressing a broad spectrum of problems across planning, development, and control phases. However, they also noted that many AI tools remain in prototype or pilot stages, with limited evidence of sustained enterprise-wide deployment. The scalability question extends beyond technical capabilities to encompass organizational readiness and change management. Oluwasanmi et al. (2023) identified data quality, organizational resistance, and ethical considerations as persistent barriers to AI adoption in agile project management. Their survey of 50 agile teams revealed that while AI-enhanced projects showed significant impacts on productivity and customer satisfaction, successful implementation required addressing cultural factors, training needs, and governance structures. Several studies focus on single-team or small-scale contexts without explicit validation in large-scale environments. Ebrahim et al. (2023) developed Alfred for release planning but did not address enterprise-scale or multi-team contexts. Similarly, Harju's (2025) work on sprint success forecasting focused on typical team sizes without large-scale project validation. This pattern suggests a gap between proof-of-concept demonstrations and enterprise-ready solutions capable of managing the complexity of large-scale software projects. Cloud-based architectures have emerged as an enabling factor for scalability. Ziuziun et al. (2025) proposed systems leveraging scalable cloud technologies such as AWS to ensure reliability and performance across teams of varying sizes and complexities. This infrastructure approach addresses computational scalability but does not fully resolve organizational and process integration challenges inherent in large-scale agile transformations.

5. Discussion

5.1 Critical Success Factors

Analysis of the reviewed literature reveals several critical success factors for implementing AI-enabled decision intelligence in large-scale agile projects. First, data quality and availability emerge as foundational requirements. Machine learning models require substantial historical project data to train effectively, and data must be clean, consistent, and representative of current project contexts (Oluwasanmi et al., 2023). Organizations lacking mature data collection practices or those undergoing significant process changes may struggle to accumulate sufficient training data. Second, model interpretability and transparency significantly influence practitioner acceptance. Ebrahim et al. (2023) incorporated confidence indicators based on data availability, providing project managers with insights into prediction reliability. This transparency enables informed decision-making where managers can appropriately weight AI recommendations based on confidence levels. Conversely, black-box models that provide recommendations without explanation may face resistance from experienced practitioners who value understanding the rationale behind decisions. Third, human-AI collaboration frameworks prove essential for effective implementation. Babulak et al. (2025) emphasized human-centric AI design that augments rather than replaces human judgment. Successful systems provide decision support while preserving human agency, enabling practitioners to override AI recommendations when contextual factors not captured in training data warrant different approaches. This collaborative orientation aligns with agile values emphasizing individuals and interactions over processes and tools. Fourth, integration with existing agile tools and workflows reduces adoption friction. Systems that require substantial process changes or operate in isolation from established tools face higher implementation barriers than those seamlessly embedded in current workflows (Fellah, 2025). The trend toward conversational AI interfaces and chatbot-based assistants reflects efforts to minimize learning curves and provide intuitive interaction modalities. Fifth, organizational change management and training investments determine adoption success. Almalki (2025) noted that technical capabilities alone do not guarantee value realization; organizations must invest in training, establish governance structures, and manage cultural transitions. Resistance to AI adoption often stems from concerns about job displacement, loss of autonomy, or skepticism regarding algorithmic decision-making, concerns that require proactive communication and change management strategies.

5.2 Challenges and Limitations

Despite promising outcomes, significant challenges and limitations persist. The generalizability of reported results remains uncertain, as many studies present single case studies or small-scale validations without rigorous experimental controls or diverse organizational contexts (Widodo et al., 2025). The dramatic improvements reported in some studies may reflect optimal conditions not representative of typical enterprise environments. Data privacy and security concerns complicate AI implementation in software project management. Project data often contains sensitive information about organizational capabilities, strategic priorities, and personnel performance. Deploying AI systems that process this data requires robust security measures and clear governance policies regarding data access, retention, and usage (Oluwasanmi et al., 2023). The dynamic nature of software development poses challenges for machine learning models trained on historical data. Arora et al. (2020) noted that volatile requirements, a defining characteristic of agile projects, complicate effort estimation. Models trained on past projects may perform poorly when organizational contexts, technologies, or team compositions change substantially. Continuous model retraining and adaptation mechanisms become necessary but add operational complexity. Ethical considerations regarding algorithmic decision-making require careful attention. AI systems may perpetuate biases present in training data, potentially leading to unfair resource allocation, skewed prioritization, or inequitable workload distribution (Oluwasanmi et al., 2023). Establishing ethical guidelines, conducting bias audits, and maintaining human oversight of critical decisions represent important safeguards. The computational and financial costs of implementing sophisticated AI systems may exceed the resources available to smaller organizations. Deep learning models, large language models, and cloud-based architectures require substantial computational infrastructure and expertise (Ziuziun et al., 2025). This resource intensity may limit AI-enabled decision intelligence to well-resourced enterprises, potentially widening capability gaps between large and small organizations.

5.3 Implications for Practice

For practitioners and organizations considering AI-enabled decision intelligence adoption, several implications emerge from this review. First, organizations should adopt incremental implementation strategies, beginning with well-defined use cases where AI can demonstrate clear value. Task time estimation, backlog prioritization, and risk identification represent promising initial applications with measurable outcomes and relatively contained scope (Ebrahim et al., 2023). Second, investment in data infrastructure and governance should precede or accompany AI system deployment. Establishing consistent data collection practices, ensuring data quality, and implementing appropriate security and privacy controls create foundations for successful AI implementation (Almalki, 2025). Organizations lacking mature data practices should prioritize these foundational capabilities. Third, organizations should emphasize human-AI collaboration rather than full automation. Designing systems that augment human decision-making while preserving practitioner agency aligns with agile values and reduces resistance to adoption (Babulak et al., 2025). Providing transparency into AI reasoning, confidence indicators, and override capabilities enables informed human judgment. Fourth, organizations should invest in training and change management to build AI literacy among project managers, product owners, and development teams. Understanding AI capabilities, limitations, and appropriate usage contexts enables effective collaboration with AI systems and realistic expectations regarding outcomes (Oluwasanmi et al., 2023). Lastly, organizations should establish governance frameworks addressing ethical considerations, bias mitigation, and accountability for AI-influenced decisions. Clear policies regarding when AI recommendations should be followed, when human judgment should prevail, and how to audit AI system performance create necessary guardrails for responsible AI deployment (Almalki, 2025).

6. Conclusion

This comprehensive review of AI-enabled decision intelligence for agile product management in large-scale software projects reveals a rapidly evolving field characterized by promising technical advances, compelling empirical outcomes, and persistent implementation challenges. The integration of machine learning techniques, including gradient boosting, deep learning, natural language processing, and large language models, has demonstrated substantial improvements in estimation accuracy, requirements quality, delivery speed, and stakeholder satisfaction. Quantitative evidence shows accuracy improvements reaching 82-98%, time reductions of 40-

67%, and enhanced decision-making capabilities across sprint planning, backlog prioritization, and resource allocation. However, the transition from proof-of-concept demonstrations to enterprise-wide implementations remains incomplete. Critical gaps exist in understanding scalability to large-scale contexts, long-term sustainability of AI systems, and organizational factors influencing adoption success. The literature reveals limited evidence of sustained enterprise-wide deployments, with many studies focusing on single teams or pilot projects. Data quality requirements, organizational resistance, ethical considerations, and resource constraints represent significant barriers requiring systematic attention.

Future research should prioritize several directions. First, longitudinal studies examining sustained AI system performance across multiple projects and organizational contexts would provide insights into long-term viability and adaptation requirements. Second, comparative studies evaluating different AI techniques, implementation approaches, and organizational contexts would enable evidence-based guidance for practitioners. Third, research on human-AI collaboration dynamics, including optimal division of labor, interface design, and trust calibration, would inform more effective system design. Fourth, investigation of ethical frameworks, bias mitigation strategies, and governance structures would address responsible AI deployment concerns. The convergence of artificial intelligence and agile methodologies represents a significant opportunity to enhance decision-making in large-scale software projects. As AI technologies mature and organizational capabilities develop, AI-enabled decision intelligence systems have potential to transform product management practices, enabling more accurate predictions, faster decisions, and better outcomes. However, realizing this potential requires not only technical innovation but also careful attention to organizational readiness, human factors, and ethical considerations. Organizations that successfully navigate these challenges while maintaining agile values of collaboration, adaptability, and customer focus will be well-positioned to leverage AI for competitive advantage in increasingly complex software development environments.

References

Abadi, M., et al. (2025). Intelligent decision making and knowledge management system for agile project management in industry 4.0 context. Statistics, Optimization & Information Computing. https://doi.org/10.19139/soic-2310-5070-2378

Almalki, A. (2025). AI-driven decision support systems in agile software project management: Enhancing risk mitigation and resource allocation. Systems, 13(3), 208. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13030208

Almeida, F., Espinheira, E., & Simoes, J. (2021). Large-scale agile frameworks: A comparative review. Journal of Applied Science, Management and Engineering Technology, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.31284/J.JASMET.2021.V2I1.1832

Arora, C., Sabetzadeh, M., Briand, L., & Zimmer, F. (2020). A systematic literature review of machine learning estimation approaches in scrum projects. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering (pp. 559-572). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1451-7_59

Babulak, E., Wang, M., & Chung, J. Y. (2025). Human-centric AI tools into agile methodologies for optimized software development. Advances in Computational Intelligence and Robotics Book Series. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4839-1.ch002

Barua, S., Hossain, M. S., & Rahman, M. A. (2025). AI-augmented agile project management in engineering: A framework for smart decision-making and risk mitigation. International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 15(3). https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2025.15.3.1828

Cinkusz, K., Chudziak, J. A., & Niewiadomska-Szynkiewicz, E. (2025). Cognitive Agents Powered by Large Language Models for Agile Software Project Management. *Electronics, 14*(1), 87. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics14010087

Das, S. (2025). Scaling agile with AI: Enhancing large-scale agile frameworks through predictive analytics and automation. Ibn Al-Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Sciences, 16(2). https://doi.org/10.71097/ijsat.v16.i2.5874

Dikert, K., Paasivaara, M., & Lassenius, C. (2016). Challenges and success factors for large-scale agile transformations: A systematic literature review. Journal of Systems and Software, 119, 87-108. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSS.2016.06.013

Ebrahim, N. A., Ahmed, S., & Rashid, Z. (2023). AI decision assistant ChatBot for software release planning and optimized resource allocation. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on AI Testing (pp. 123-130). https://doi.org/10.1109/aitest58265.2023.00018

Fellah, A. (2025). Integrating AI into Scrum: Enhancing and refining agile software development practices. In Advances in Software Engineering (pp. 1-18). https://doi.org/10.55432/978-1-6692-0011-6_1

Garaibeh, N. K. (2012). DSS development and agile methods: Towards a new framework for software development methodology. International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing, 2(2), 162-166. https://doi.org/10.7763/IJMLC.2012.V2.162

Grigoryeva, A. (2015). Agile methodologies in large-scale software projects.

Guo, Y. (2025). A study on the application of AI and machine learning in agile project management. Applied Analytics, 12(2). https://doi.org/10.70695/aa1202502a07

Hamza, M., Ali, R., & Khan, S. (2024). AI-driven assistants' potential for scaled agile software development. Bulletin of Business and Economics, 13(1), 416. https://doi.org/10.61506/01.00416

Harju, H. (2025). Sprint success forecasting with machine learning and Scrum data: A machine learning model for sprint planning. Master’s Thesis Fall 2025, Degree Programme in Data Analytics and Project Management, Oulu University of Applied Sciences. https://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:amk-2025110727385

Hulugh, A., Patel, R., & Singh, K. (2025). Artificial intelligence in product management: Automating roadmap prioritization through sentiment analysis and customer feature demand modeling. International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 15(1). https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2025.15.1.1246

Latinovic, T., Chatterjee, S., & Ramesh, B. (2021). Automation and artificial intelligence in software engineering: Experiences, challenges, and opportunities. In Proceedings of the 54th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (pp. 6825-6834). https://doi.org/10.24251/HICSS.2021.017

Oluwasanmi, A., Ojo, O., & Adeyemi, K. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on agile project management: A product perspective. Advances in Multidisciplinary and Scientific Research Journal, 11(4), 7. https://doi.org/10.22624/aims/maths/v11n4p7

Periyasamy, K., & Chianelli, J. (2021). A Project Tracking Tool for Scrum Projects with Machine Learning Support for Cost Estimation. *International Conference on Software Engineering*.

ProjectION. (2023). A computational intelligence-based tool for decision support in agile software development projects. https://doi.org/10.22541/au.167575146.62025490/v1

Saklamaeva, A., Hamza, M., & Petersen, K. (2023). The potential of AI-driven assistants in scaled agile software development. Applied Sciences, 14(1), 319. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14010319

Wei, L., Zhang, Y., & Chen, H. (2021). Recommender systems for software project managers. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering (pp. 234-239). https://doi.org/10.1145/3463274.3463951

Widodo, A., Santoso, B., & Wijaya, C. (2025). Generative artificial intelligence in agile product management: Optimizing task coordination and team efficiency in software development. Indonesian Journal of Computer Science, 14(3). https://doi.org/10.33022/ijcs.v14i3.4800

Zadeh, E. K., Khoulenjani, A. B., & Safaei, M. (2024). Integrating AI for agile Project Management: Innovations, challenges, and benefits. International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Construction Management (IJIECM), 1(2), 1-10.

Zaidi, S., Ahmed, M., & Khan, A. (n.d.). Agile methodology prediction using machine learning algorithms.

Ziuziun, V., Kovalenko, O., & Shevchenko, I. (2025). AI-enhanced system design for agile sprint management and velocity prediction. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on System Integration and Smart Technologies (pp. 1-6). https://doi.org/10.1109/sist61657.2025.11139278