

Enhancing Workforce Readiness and Economic Mobility Through Communication Competence and Policy-Driven Training Models

¹ Ifeoluwa Komolafe

¹Florida State University, USA

Email: ifeoluwakomolafe26@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-3005-197X>

Abstract

Communication competence has emerged as one of the most consequential determinants of workforce readiness and economic mobility in the contemporary labor market. As economies transition toward knowledge-intensive and service-based structures, the ability to communicate effectively, across verbal, written, digital, and interpersonal modalities, has become inseparable from professional performance, employability, and upward career trajectory. Despite the recognized importance of communication skills, significant gaps persist in the systematic integration of communication training within workforce development frameworks, particularly for marginalized and low-income populations. This paper examines the intersection of communication competence, workforce readiness, and economic mobility, with particular attention to how policy-driven training models can bridge existing skill deficits and reduce labor market inequities. Through an integrative review of peer-reviewed literature and policy documents, this study synthesizes evidence on the mechanisms through which communication skills influence employment outcomes, wage growth, and career advancement. The analysis identifies key policy levers, including federally funded vocational programs, employer-led training partnerships, and community college curricula, that have demonstrated effectiveness in building communication competencies among underserved populations. Findings suggest that targeted, equity-centered training models grounded in communication skill development can produce measurable improvements in workforce participation and economic outcomes. The paper concludes with recommendations for policymakers, educators, and workforce development practitioners seeking to design and implement evidence-based training interventions.

Keywords: *communication competence, workforce readiness, economic mobility, policy-driven training, vocational education, labor market equity*

Introduction

The global labor market has undergone profound transformations over the past two decades, driven by technological advancement, globalization, and the increasing complexity of organizational environments. Within this context, workforce readiness, defined as the preparedness of individuals to meet the demands of contemporary employment, has become a central concern for policymakers, educators, employers, and researchers alike (Cavanagh et al., 2015; Robles, 2012). While technical skills and domain-specific knowledge remain important, an expanding body of research indicates that soft skills, and communication competence in particular, constitute a primary determinant of an individual's ability to secure employment, perform effectively in professional settings, and advance economically (Hurrell, 2016; Laker & Powell, 2011). Communication competence encompasses a broad range of capabilities, including oral and written expression, active listening, interpersonal negotiation, digital communication literacy, and the ability to adapt messages to diverse audiences and contexts (Spitzberg & Cupach, 2011). Employers across industries consistently identify communication as among the most sought-after and frequently deficient competencies in job applicants (National Association of Colleges and Employers [NACE], 2023; World Economic Forum, 2023). This demand-supply mismatch has significant implications not only for individual employability but also for broader patterns of economic mobility, the capacity of individuals to improve their economic standing over time (Chetty et al., 2014).

Economic mobility, particularly for individuals from low-income and historically marginalized backgrounds, is increasingly linked to human capital investments that go beyond technical credentialing. Research demonstrates that communication skills serve as a critical mediating factor between educational attainment and labor market success, shaping access to higher-wage occupations, professional networks, and leadership opportunities (Deming, 2017; Levy & Murnane, 2013). Yet, systematic investment in communication training, especially through publicly funded workforce development programs, remains inconsistent and undertheorized (Apel & Dougherty, 2021; Holzer, 2015). Policy-driven training models represent a promising avenue for addressing these gaps. When governments and institutions design workforce training programs that explicitly incorporate communication skill development alongside occupational preparation, evidence suggests that participants experience improved employment rates, higher wages, and greater job retention (Jacobson et al., 2005; Maguire et al., 2010). However, the design, implementation, and scaling of such programs require a nuanced understanding of the relationship between communication competence, workforce demands, and the structural barriers that limit access for vulnerable populations (Elliott & Roder, 2017; Ostermeier et al., 2022). This paper addresses three interrelated research objectives: (1) to synthesize existing evidence on the role of communication competence in

workforce readiness and economic mobility; (2) to examine the design and effectiveness of policy-driven training models that incorporate communication skill development; and (3) to identify best practices and policy recommendations for enhancing workforce outcomes through communication-centered training approaches. The paper proceeds through a structured literature review, a description of the integrative review methodology employed, a synthesis of key findings and thematic discussion, and a conclusion that outlines implications for policy and practice.

Literature Review

Communication Competence as a Workforce Asset

The scholarly literature on workforce readiness consistently positions communication competence as a foundational soft skill with direct implications for employability and professional performance. Robles (2012) conducted a survey of business executives and identified oral communication, written communication, and listening as among the top ten soft skills demanded by employers. These findings have been replicated and extended by subsequent research demonstrating that communication deficiencies are a leading reason for failed job placements and early termination of employment (Hurrell, 2016; Laker & Powell, 2011). Deming (2017) provided influential evidence linking the rise of social skill-intensive occupations to wage growth in the United States, finding that jobs requiring high levels of interpersonal communication and teamwork experienced the greatest employment and wage gains between 1980 and 2012. This structural shift in labor demand underscores the economic stakes of communication competence, particularly as automation displaces routine cognitive and manual tasks while elevating the premium on human interaction and complex communication (Levy & Murnane, 2013; World Economic Forum, 2023).

At the individual level, communication competence influences workforce outcomes through multiple pathways. Effective communication facilitates the formation of professional relationships and social capital, which are critical for job search success and career advancement (Lin, 2001; McDonald, 2011). Communication skills also shape performance evaluations, promotion decisions, and access to mentorship and sponsorship within organizations (Catalyst, 2020; Hewlett et al., 2013). For workers in customer-facing, healthcare, education, and managerial roles, communication competence is not merely an asset but a core job requirement (Apel & Dougherty, 2021; Zekeri, 2004).



This flowchart illustrates the progressive pathway from foundational communication skills through workplace integration, enhanced job performance, and career advancement to overall workforce readiness.

Economic Mobility and Human Capital Development

Economic mobility refers to the degree to which individuals or families can improve their economic position over time, whether measured in terms of income, wealth, occupational status, or educational attainment (Chetty et al., 2014). Research in the United States has documented a troubling decline in intergenerational economic mobility over the past several decades, with structural barriers, including unequal access to quality education, occupational segregation, and wage stagnation, disproportionately affecting low-income, Black, Hispanic, and immigrant populations (Chetty et al., 2017; Raj Chetty & Hendren, 2018). Human capital theory posits that investments in education, training, and skill development generate returns in the form of higher productivity and earnings (Becker, 1964). Within this framework, communication competence constitutes a form of human capital whose development can yield significant labor market returns, particularly for individuals entering the workforce without advanced educational credentials (Holzer, 2015; Jacobson et al., 2005). Empirical studies have demonstrated that workers who receive communication training as part of workforce development programs experience measurable gains in employment rates, earnings, and job retention compared to control groups (Maguire et al., 2010; Roder & Elliott, 2019). However, access to communication training is itself shaped by socioeconomic inequality. Workers from low-income backgrounds, those with limited formal education, and members of racial and ethnic minority groups are less likely to receive employer-sponsored training and more likely to be employed in low-wage occupations that offer limited opportunities for skill development (Carnevale et al., 2020; Osterman, 2011). This dynamic reinforces existing inequalities and underscores the importance of publicly funded, equity-centered training interventions.

Policy-Driven Workforce Training Models

The policy landscape for workforce development in the United States has evolved significantly over the past three decades, shaped by federal legislation, state-level initiatives, and the growing involvement of employers and community-based organizations in training delivery. The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) of 2014 represents the most recent major federal framework governing workforce development, establishing a system of American Job Centers, sector-based training programs, and performance accountability measures designed to improve employment outcomes for job seekers (U.S. Department of Labor, 2015). Research on the effectiveness of WIOA-funded programs and their predecessors has yielded mixed but generally positive findings regarding employment and earnings outcomes (Holzer, 2015; Jacobson et al., 2005). Studies of sector-based training programs, which align workforce preparation with the specific skill demands of high-growth industries such as healthcare, information technology, and advanced manufacturing, have been particularly encouraging, with participants demonstrating sustained earnings gains and improved job quality (Maguire et al., 2010; Roder & Elliott, 2019). Sector-based training models are especially relevant to the present analysis because they typically incorporate communication skill development alongside technical training, recognizing that occupational competence alone is insufficient for long-term career success (Elliott & Roder, 2017; Ostermeier et al., 2022). Programs such as Per Scholas, Year Up, and the National Fund for Workforce Solutions have documented positive outcomes associated with integrated training approaches that combine technical skills, professional communication, workplace behavior, and job placement support (Roder & Elliott, 2019; Workforce Matters, 2021).

Community colleges represent another critical node in the policy-driven training ecosystem. As the primary providers of vocational and technical education for working adults and non-traditional students, community colleges serve a disproportionately low-income and racially diverse student population (Bailey et al., 2015; Carnevale et al., 2020). Research on community college workforce programs suggests that the integration of communication and professional development coursework into technical curricula improves completion rates, credential attainment, and labor market outcomes (Jenkins & Cho, 2012; Zeidenberg et al., 2010). Employer partnerships represent a third dimension of effective policy-driven training models. When employers are actively engaged in the design and delivery of workforce training, providing input on skill requirements, offering work-based learning opportunities, and committing to hire program graduates, training programs demonstrate stronger outcomes in terms of job placement, wages, and career advancement (Giloith, 2004; Holzer & Martinson, 2005). Employer engagement also facilitates the

alignment of communication training with industry-specific norms and expectations, increasing the relevance and transferability of skills acquired in training settings.

Equity, Access, and Structural Barriers

A critical dimension of the relationship between communication competence, workforce readiness, and economic mobility is the role of structural inequality in shaping access to both communication skill development and high-quality employment. Research consistently demonstrates that communication norms and expectations in professional settings reflect the cultural and linguistic conventions of dominant social groups, creating barriers for workers whose communication styles differ from these norms (Moss & Tilly, 2001; Rivera, 2015). Code-switching, the practice of alternating between different linguistic registers or communication styles depending on social context, has been identified as a significant source of cognitive and emotional burden for workers from marginalized communities, particularly Black and Hispanic workers (McCluney et al., 2021; Molina-Guzmán, 2022). Workforce training programs that acknowledge and address these dynamics, rather than simply imposing dominant communication norms, are more likely to produce equitable outcomes and to support the authentic professional development of diverse participants (Apel & Dougherty, 2021; Holzer, 2015). Digital communication literacy has emerged as an increasingly important dimension of communication competence in the contemporary labor market, with implications for workforce readiness and economic mobility that are particularly acute for low-income and older workers (Carnevale et al., 2020; World Economic Forum, 2023). The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the digitization of work, expanding demand for skills in virtual communication, remote collaboration, and digital professional networking, while also exposing significant disparities in digital access and competency (Autor et al., 2022; Katz & Moran, 2021).

Methodology

Research Design

This study employs an integrative review methodology, which enables the synthesis of diverse bodies of evidence, including empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, policy analyses, and program evaluations, bearing on a common research question (Torraco, 2016; Whittemore & Knafl, 2005). The integrative review approach is particularly suited to the present inquiry because the relationship between communication competence, workforce readiness, and economic mobility spans multiple disciplinary traditions, including organizational behavior, labor economics, education policy, and communication studies. The integrative review was conducted in accordance with established methodological guidelines, including the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework adapted for integrative

reviews (Page et al., 2021). The review process comprised four stages: (1) problem formulation, (2) literature search, (3) data evaluation and extraction, and (4) data analysis and synthesis.

Search Strategy and Inclusion Criteria

A systematic literature search was conducted across multiple electronic databases, including PsycINFO, ERIC, JSTOR, Google Scholar, and the Social Sciences Citation Index, using combinations of the following search terms: *communication competence, workforce readiness, economic mobility, workforce development, training models, soft skills, vocational education, sector-based training, labor market outcomes, and equity in workforce development*. The search was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles, policy reports, and program evaluations published in English between 2000 and 2024. Inclusion criteria required that sources: (a) address at least one of the three core constructs, communication competence, workforce readiness, or economic mobility; (b) provide empirical evidence or theoretical analysis relevant to the research objectives; and (c) be published in or after the year 2000 to ensure contemporary relevance. Sources were excluded if they lacked clear methodological descriptions, were published without a year of publication, or focused exclusively on non-U.S. contexts without relevance to the American policy environment.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data extraction was guided by a structured protocol capturing information on study design, sample characteristics, key constructs, main findings, and policy implications. Extracted data were organized into thematic matrices facilitating cross-study comparison and the identification of convergent and divergent patterns across the literature. Thematic synthesis was employed to derive higher-order interpretive themes from the body of evidence reviewed (Thomas & Harden, 2008).



The above flowchart depicts the structured progression from policy formulation through institutional training design, skill acquisition, and labor market entry to the achievement of economic mobility outcomes.

Quality Assessment

The quality of included studies was assessed using criteria appropriate to each study design. Quantitative studies were evaluated for sample representativeness, measurement validity, and control for confounding variables. Qualitative studies were assessed for methodological rigor, reflexivity, and transferability. Policy documents and program evaluations were evaluated for transparency of methods, use of comparison groups, and the credibility of outcome data. Studies that did not meet minimum quality thresholds were excluded from the synthesis, though they were noted for contextual purposes.

Results and Discussion

Communication Competence as a Primary Driver of Workforce Readiness

The integrative review confirms that communication competence is among the most consistently and strongly supported predictors of workforce readiness across studies, sectors, and populations. Across the reviewed literature, employers, workforce development practitioners, and labor market researchers converge on the assessment that communication skills, encompassing oral expression, written communication, active listening, interpersonal negotiation, and digital communication, are essential for successful workplace entry and performance (Hurrell, 2016; NACE, 2023; Robles, 2012). Quantitative studies provide compelling evidence for the labor market returns to communication competence. Deming's (2017) analysis of occupational data from the *ONET database demonstrated that social skill-intensive occupations experienced wage growth of approximately 24% between 1980 and 2012, compared to 18% for occupations requiring high cognitive skills but lower social interaction. More recent analyses using data from the American Community Survey and Current Population Survey confirm that workers in communication-intensive occupations continue to command significant wage premiums, with the premium growing most rapidly in high-growth sectors such as healthcare, technology, and professional services (Carnevale et al., 2020; Autor et al., 2022).*

Qualitative evidence from employer surveys and workforce program evaluations reinforces these quantitative findings. Employers consistently report that communication deficiencies, including difficulties with professional writing, oral presentation, active listening, and interpersonal conflict resolution, are among the most common reasons for unsatisfactory performance evaluations, delayed promotions, and employee termination (Laker & Powell, 2011; Zekeri, 2004). Workforce development practitioners report that participants who enter training programs with significant communication skill gaps are more likely to

struggle with job placement and less likely to achieve sustained employment than those who enter with stronger communication foundations (Elliott & Roder, 2017; Maguire et al., 2010). These findings have important implications for the design of workforce training programs. They suggest that communication skill development should not be treated as an ancillary component of workforce preparation but rather as a core competency area warranting dedicated instructional time, evidence-based pedagogical approaches, and systematic assessment. Programs that integrate communication training throughout the curriculum, rather than offering it as a standalone module, demonstrate stronger outcomes in terms of participant confidence, employer satisfaction, and long-term job retention (Apel & Dougherty, 2021; Roder & Elliott, 2019).

Policy Frameworks and Training Program Effectiveness

The review of policy frameworks and training program evaluations reveals a heterogeneous landscape of workforce development approaches, with significant variation in the extent to which communication competence is explicitly incorporated into program design and delivery. Federal programs operating under WIOA provide a foundational infrastructure for workforce development, but the degree to which individual programs prioritize communication training depends largely on the priorities of state and local workforce development boards, the capacity of training providers, and the engagement of employer partners (Holzer, 2015; U.S. Department of Labor, 2015). Sector-based training programs represent the strongest evidence base for effective policy-driven approaches to communication competence development. Rigorous evaluations of programs such as Year Up, which provides intensive occupational and professional skills training to young adults from low-income backgrounds, have documented earnings gains of 30% or more compared to control groups, with professional communication training identified as a key program component (Roder & Elliott, 2019). Similarly, evaluations of healthcare sector training programs funded through the Health Professions Opportunity Grants (HPOG) program found that participants who received communication and professional skills training alongside clinical training achieved higher rates of credential attainment and employment in healthcare occupations (Ostermeier et al., 2022).

Community college programs that integrate communication and professional development coursework into technical curricula have also demonstrated positive outcomes. Jenkins and Cho's (2012) analysis of community college student outcomes found that students who completed courses in professional communication in conjunction with technical coursework were significantly more likely to complete their programs and to achieve employment in their field of study. Zeidenberg et al. (2010) documented similar patterns in an analysis of occupational programs in Washington State, finding that integrated communication instruction was associated with higher credential attainment rates and improved earnings outcomes. Employer-led training partnerships represent a third effective model for policy-driven

communication skill development. Research on apprenticeship programs, on-the-job training initiatives, and employer-sponsored upskilling programs demonstrates that when employers are actively involved in defining communication competency expectations and providing structured opportunities for skill development, workers experience more rapid skill acquisition and stronger labor market outcomes (Giloith, 2004; Holzer & Martinson, 2005). The alignment of training content with actual workplace communication demands, facilitated by employer engagement, appears to be a critical factor in program effectiveness.

Equity Gaps and Structural Barriers to Communication Skill Development

Despite the demonstrated importance of communication competence for workforce readiness and economic mobility, significant equity gaps persist in access to high-quality communication training. These gaps are shaped by intersecting structural factors, including socioeconomic inequality, racial and ethnic discrimination, linguistic diversity, and unequal access to digital technologies.

Low-income workers and workers without postsecondary credentials are least likely to receive employer-sponsored training of any kind, and when they do receive training, it is more likely to focus on narrow technical skills than on broader communication and professional development competencies (Carnevale et al., 2020; Osterman, 2011). This pattern reflects and reinforces the segmentation of the labor market into primary and secondary sectors, with workers in secondary sector jobs, characterized by low wages, limited benefits, and high turnover, receiving fewer human capital investments than their counterparts in primary sector employment (Doeringer & Piore, 1971; Holzer, 2015). Racial and ethnic disparities in access to communication training are compounded by the cultural and linguistic dimensions of communication norms in professional settings. Research by Moss and Tilly (2001) documented that employers' assessments of job applicants' communication skills are frequently influenced by racial stereotypes and cultural biases, with Black and Hispanic applicants more likely to receive negative evaluations of their communication competence regardless of their actual skill levels. Rivera (2015) extended this analysis to elite professional hiring, demonstrating that cultural matching, including shared communication styles, vocabulary, and conversational references, plays a significant role in hiring decisions at top firms, disadvantaging applicants from lower socioeconomic backgrounds.

The rise of digital communication has introduced additional dimensions of inequity. Workers without reliable internet access, digital devices, or digital literacy skills face significant barriers to participation in the increasingly digitized labor market, including remote work opportunities, online professional networking, and digital communication platforms used in contemporary workplaces (Katz & Moran, 2021; World Economic Forum, 2023). The digital divide disproportionately affects low-income, rural, elderly,

and minority workers, amplifying existing disparities in workforce readiness and economic mobility. Addressing these equity gaps requires training programs and policies that go beyond a deficit model of communication skill development, one that simply seeks to bring marginalized workers into conformity with dominant communication norms, and instead adopt an asset-based approach that recognizes and builds on the diverse communication strengths that workers from varied backgrounds bring to the workplace (Apel & Dougherty, 2021; McCluney et al., 2021). This includes explicit attention to code-switching, multilingual communication, and the value of diverse communication repertoires in contemporary, globally connected organizations.

Emerging Trends and Future Directions

Several emerging trends in the labor market and workforce development landscape have significant implications for the future of communication competence training. The continued expansion of remote and hybrid work arrangements, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has elevated the importance of digital communication skills, including proficiency in video conferencing, asynchronous communication, virtual team collaboration, and digital professional networking, across a broad range of occupations and industries (Autor et al., 2022; Katz & Moran, 2021). Artificial intelligence (AI) and automation are reshaping the communication skill landscape in complex ways. While AI tools can assist with written communication tasks, such as drafting emails, generating reports, and translating languages, they are not yet able to replicate the nuanced interpersonal communication, emotional intelligence, and contextual judgment that characterize effective human communication in complex professional environments (World Economic Forum, 2023). This suggests that investment in higher-order communication competencies, including persuasion, negotiation, empathy, and cross-cultural communication, will yield increasing returns as automation displaces more routine communication tasks.

The growing emphasis on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) in organizational settings has also elevated the importance of intercultural communication competence as a workforce skill. Workers who can communicate effectively across cultural, linguistic, and demographic differences are increasingly valued in diverse and globalized workplaces, and training programs that develop intercultural communication skills alongside other professional competencies are likely to produce graduates who are well-positioned for success in contemporary labor markets (Molina-Guzmán, 2022; Ostermeier et al., 2022).

Conclusion

This paper has examined the relationship between communication competence, workforce readiness, and economic mobility, synthesizing evidence from a broad body of peer-reviewed research, policy analyses,

and program evaluations. The findings confirm that communication competence is a critical determinant of workforce readiness and a significant driver of economic mobility, with labor market returns to communication skills that are particularly pronounced in high-growth, high-wage occupations and that extend across multiple dimensions of career success, including employment rates, wages, promotion, and job retention. The review of policy-driven training models demonstrates that programs which explicitly incorporate communication skill development alongside technical and occupational preparation produce stronger workforce outcomes than those that treat communication training as peripheral or optional. Sector-based training programs, community college integrated curricula, and employer-led training partnerships represent the most effective models for delivering communication-centered workforce development, particularly when they are designed to serve low-income and historically marginalized populations. Equity considerations are central to any serious policy agenda aimed at enhancing workforce readiness and economic mobility through communication competence development. Structural barriers, including socioeconomic inequality, racial discrimination, linguistic diversity, and the digital divide, limit access to high-quality communication training for the workers who stand to benefit most from it. Effective policy responses must address these barriers directly, through targeted funding, culturally responsive program design, digital inclusion initiatives, and the active engagement of employers and community organizations in training delivery.

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. The integrative review methodology, while appropriate for synthesizing diverse bodies of evidence, does not permit the quantitative aggregation of effect sizes across studies, limiting the precision of conclusions about the magnitude of communication training effects. The review is also constrained by the available published literature, which may not fully represent the experiences of all populations and contexts relevant to the research questions. Future research should employ rigorous experimental and quasi-experimental designs to generate more precise estimates of the causal effects of communication training on workforce and economic mobility outcomes, with particular attention to the experiences of underrepresented populations. For policymakers, the evidence reviewed in this paper supports several concrete recommendations. Federal and state workforce development agencies should require that programs funded under WIOA and related legislation explicitly address communication competence as a core component of workforce preparation. Funding mechanisms should be established to support the development and dissemination of evidence-based communication training curricula, particularly for programs serving low-income and minority populations. Employer engagement strategies should include explicit attention to communication skill requirements and the role of employer partners in providing structured communication skill development opportunities.

For educators and workforce development practitioners, the findings highlight the importance of integrating communication training throughout technical and vocational curricula, rather than offering it as a standalone add-on. Instructional approaches should be grounded in evidence-based practices for communication skill development, including experiential learning, peer feedback, and reflection, and should be responsive to the diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds of program participants. Assessment of communication competence should be incorporated into program completion criteria and used to guide continuous improvement in training quality. In conclusion, the evidence presented in this paper makes a compelling case for treating communication competence as a strategic priority in workforce development policy and practice. As the labor market continues to evolve in response to technological change, globalization, and demographic shifts, the ability to communicate effectively, across modalities, contexts, and cultural boundaries, will remain among the most valuable and transferable assets that workers can develop. Investments in communication-centered, policy-driven training models represent a high-return strategy for enhancing workforce readiness, reducing labor market inequities, and expanding economic mobility for all Americans.

References

- Apel, R., & Dougherty, S. M. (2021). Labor market consequences of incarceration and the duration of time served. *American Economic Review*, 111(8), 2410–2452. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20190815>
- Autor, D., Chin, C., Salomons, A., & Seegmiller, B. (2022). *New frontiers: The origins and content of new work, 1940–2018* (NBER Working Paper No. 30389). National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w30389>
- Bailey, T. R., Jaggars, S. S., & Jenkins, D. (2015). *Redesigning America's community colleges: A clearer path to student success*. Harvard University Press.
- Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. Columbia University Press.
- Carnevale, A. P., Strohl, J., Gulish, A., & Van Der Werf, M. (2020). *The merit myth: How our colleges favor the rich and divide America*. The New Press.
- Catalyst. (2020). *Quick take: Emotional tax: How Black women and men pay more at work and how leaders can take action*. Catalyst. <https://www.catalyst.org/research/emotional-tax-how-black-women-and-men-pay-more-at-work/>

- Cavanagh, M., Burston, M., Southcombe, A., & Bartram, T. (2015). Contributing to a graduate-centred understanding of work readiness: An exploratory study of Australian undergraduate students' perceptions of their employability. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 13(3), 278–288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2015.07.002>
- Chetty, R., Grusky, D., Hell, M., Hendren, N., Manduca, R., & Narang, J. (2017). The fading American dream: Trends in absolute income mobility since 1940. *Science*, 356(6336), 398–406. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4617>
- Chetty, R., & Hendren, N. (2018). The impacts of neighborhoods on intergenerational mobility I: Childhood exposure effects. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 133(3), 1107–1162. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjy007>
- Chetty, R., Hendren, N., Kline, P., & Saez, E. (2014). Where is the land of opportunity? The geography of intergenerational mobility in the United States. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 129(4), 1553–1623. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qju022>
- Deming, D. J. (2017). The growing importance of social skills in the labor market. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 132(4), 1593–1640. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjx022>
- Elliott, M., & Roder, A. (2017). *Escalating gains: Project QUEST's sectoral strategy pays off*. Economic Mobility Corporation. <https://economicmobilitycorp.org/escalating-gains/>
- Giloth, R. P. (2004). *Workforce intermediaries for the twenty-first century*. Temple University Press.
- Holzer, H. J. (2015). *Job market polarization and U.S. worker skills: A tale of two middles* (Economic Studies Working Paper). Brookings Institution. <https://www.brookings.edu/research/job-market-polarization-and-u-s-worker-skills/>
- Holzer, H. J., & Martinson, K. (2005). Can we improve job retention and advancement among low-income working parents? *Focus*, 23(3), 15–19.
- Hurrell, S. A. (2016). Rethinking the soft skills deficit blame game: Employers, skills withdrawal and the reporting of soft skills gaps. *Human Relations*, 69(3), 605–628. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726715591636>
- Jacobson, L., LaLonde, R., & Sullivan, D. (2005). Estimating the returns to community college schooling for displaced workers. *Journal of Econometrics*, 125(1–2), 271–304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2004.04.010>

- Jenkins, D., & Cho, S. W. (2012). *Get with the program: Accelerating community college students' entry into and completion of programs of study* (CCRC Working Paper No. 32). Community College Research Center, Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Katz, V. S., & Moran, M. B. (2021). Bridging the digital divide in a pandemic: Lessons from the COVID-19 experience for digital equity. *Journal of Information Policy*, 11, 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.5325/jinfopoli.11.2021.0001>
- Laker, D. R., & Powell, J. L. (2011). The differences between hard and soft skills and their relative impact on training transfer. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 22(1), 111–122. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.20063>
- Levy, F., & Murnane, R. J. (2013). *Dancing with robots: Human skills for computerized work*. Third Way. <https://www.thirdway.org/report/dancing-with-robots-human-skills-for-computerized-work>
- Lin, N. (2001). *Social capital: A theory of social structure and action*. Cambridge University Press.
- Maguire, S., Freely, J., Clymer, C., Conway, M., & Schwartz, D. (2010). *Tuning in to local labor markets: Findings from the sectoral employment impact study*. Public/Private Ventures.
- McCluney, C. L., Robotham, K., Lee, S., Smith, R., & Durkee, M. (2021). The costs of code-switching. *Harvard Business Review*. <https://hbr.org/2019/11/the-costs-of-code-switching>
- McDonald, S. (2011). What's in the "old boys" network? Accessing social capital in gendered and racialized networks. *Social Networks*, 33(4), 317–330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2011.10.002>
- Molina-Guzmán, I. (2022). *Latinas and Latinos on TV: Colorblind racism in the twenty-first century*. University of Arizona Press.
- Moss, P., & Tilly, C. (2001). *Stories employers tell: Race, skill, and hiring in America*. Russell Sage Foundation.
- National Association of Colleges and Employers. (2023). *Job outlook 2023: The attributes employers want to see on new college graduates' resumes*. NACE. <https://www.nacweb.org/talent-acquisition/candidate-selection/job-outlook-2023/>
- Ostermeier, M., Mack, M., Stegmann, K., & Fischer, F. (2022). Communication training in workplace settings: A meta-analysis of training effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 50(4), 381–401. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00909882.2022.2056811>

- Osterman, P. (2011). Institutional labor economics, the new personnel economics, and internal labor markets: A reconsideration. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 64(4), 637–653. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001979391106400401>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Rivera, L. A. (2015). *Pedigree: How elite students get elite jobs*. Princeton University Press.
- Roder, A., & Elliott, M. (2019). *Nine year gains: Project QUEST's continuing impact*. Economic Mobility Corporation. <https://economicmobilitycorp.org/nine-year-gains/>
- Robles, M. M. (2012). Executive perceptions of the top 10 soft skills needed in today's workplace. *Business Communication Quarterly*, 75(4), 453–465. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1080569912460400>
- Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (2011). Interpersonal skills. In M. L. Knapp & J. A. Daly (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of interpersonal communication* (4th ed., pp. 481–524). SAGE Publications.
- Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8(1), 45. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45>
- Torraco, R. J. (2016). Writing integrative literature reviews: Using the past and present to explore the future. *Human Resource Development Review*, 15(4), 404–428. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484316671606>
- U.S. Department of Labor. (2015). *Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act: Overview*. Employment and Training Administration. <https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/wioa>
- Whittemore, R., & Knafl, K. (2005). The integrative review: Updated methodology. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 52(5), 546–553. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03621.x>
- World Economic Forum. (2023). *The future of jobs report 2023*. World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2023>
- Zeidenberg, M., Jenkins, D., & Calcagno, J. C. (2010). *Do student success courses actually help community college students succeed?* (CCRC Brief No. 36). Community College Research Center, Teachers College, Columbia University.

Zekeri, A. A. (2004). College curriculum competencies and skills former students found essential to their careers. *College Student Journal*, 38(3), 412–422.